

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]@rwe.com
Cc: Five Estuaries OSWF
Subject: RE: Five Estuaries - Early Adopters Update
Date: 15 August 2023 10:19:38

Good Morning James,

Please see the below response to the outstanding points 2 and 3 raised by yourself on the 4 August:

Component 5 – Policy Compliance Document (PCD)

The description of the component identified the need to consult with relevant consultees "*The scope of the PCD is to be consulted upon with relevant consultees during Pre-application with regular updates on development to be provided to consultees/ PINS*". Are PINS able to provide any guidance as to who should be assumed to be relevant consultees for this document and what regular updates were envisaged? We were currently planning to:

- Share an early draft / template with PINS for feedback – e.g. August / September
- Share a populated draft as part of the formal PINS application document review pre-submission – e.g. November / December

These sound like appropriate next steps in regard to sharing emerging drafts of the PCD with PINS for feedback.

It is for the Applicant to decide who is a relevant consultee in relation to the development of this component. PINS would advise that relevant consultees are likely to be statutory bodies and other policy owners that are routinely involved in policy processes for NSIPs (or are uniquely involved in this case). The intention of consultation is for consensus to be achieved on the breadth of the policy framework addressed within this evidence, and for any disagreements or queries concerning the satisfaction of relevant policy by the project to be surfaced at an early stage.

Component 10

- Availability of technical resource (who would attend from PINS?)
- What sort of pre-meet / briefing is required for the PINS attendee? **PINs would send a relevant/skilled person to attend any multipartite meeting(s) depending on the requirement of the meeting. We would therefore require advance sight of an agenda and briefing note as early as possible in advance of the meeting to ensure that this is appropriately resourced. We would like to flag that PINs has a strong preference for the North Falls/ HHA multipartite meeting to be held on the August date as we would be unlikely to resource the August dates.**
- What is the status of PINS attendance at the meeting, would meeting minutes / summaries be made public if PINS attend (important to clarify to other stakeholders, if anything they say is to be recorded / published)? **We would record (i) the purpose of our attendance and (ii) any advice we issue in the course of the meeting in the form of a meeting note which will be published on the NI website. All parties in attendance will have any opportunity to comment on a draft of the note prior to publication.**
- Is there charging for attendance from PINS (noting guidance says being part of the EAP is free)? **No fee during the EAP process.**
- Are there any issues with North Falls attendance at a MPM meeting, given they aren't participating in the EAP? **PINs has no issues with North Falls attendance in any MPM, on the basis they are there as a stakeholder within the 5 Estuaries project.**
- Do the MPM continue through pre-examination and examination or is it just pre-app?

The Applicant should continue MPMs throughout the pre-examination and examination process to facilitated conversation during the NSIP process. However, MPMs in this form (with PINS attendance and advice) is limited to Pre-app.

If you have any further questions, please direct them back to the Five Estuaries project mailbox.

Kind regards,
Phoebe

Please note that the contents of this email and any attachments are privileged and/or confidential and intended solely for the use of the intended recipient. If you are not the intended recipient of this email and its attachments, you must take no action based upon them, nor must you copy or show them to anyone. Please contact the sender if you believe you have received this email in error and then delete

this email from your system.

Recipients should note that e-mail traffic on Planning Inspectorate systems is subject to monitoring, recording and auditing to secure the effective operation of the system and for other lawful purposes. The Planning Inspectorate has taken steps to keep this e-mail and any attachments free from viruses. It accepts no liability for any loss or damage caused as a result of any virus being passed on. It is the responsibility of the recipient to perform all necessary checks.

The statements expressed in this e-mail are personal and do not necessarily reflect the opinions or policies of the Inspectorate.

DPC:76616c646f72

